

Vinson&Elkins

John E. Kennedy jkennedy@velaw.com
Tel 713.758.2550 Fax 713.615.5273

July 3, 2006

OIL PIPELINE FILING

Magalie Salas, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc. F.E.R.C. No. 33
ConocoPhillips Transportation Alaska, Inc. F.E.R.C. No. 10
ExxonMobil Pipeline Company F.E.R.C. No. 274
Koch Alaska Pipeline Company, L.L.C. F.E.R.C. No. 7
Unocal Pipeline Company Supplement F.E.R.C. No. 285

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed for filing are three copies of each of the following identical tariffs:

BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc. F.E.R.C. No. 33
ConocoPhillips Transportation Alaska, Inc. F.E.R.C. No. 10
ExxonMobil Pipeline Company F.E.R.C. No. 274
Koch Alaska Pipeline Company, L.L.C. F.E.R.C. No. 7
Unocal Pipeline Company F.E.R.C. No. 285

The companies issuing these tariffs are collectively referred to herein as the TAPS Carriers.

These tariffs are issued in part to comply with the orders issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in *Trans Alaska Pipeline System*, 113 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2005) (Opinion No. 481); 114 FERC ¶ 61,323 (2006) (Opinion No. 481-A); 115 FERC ¶ 61,287 (2006) (Opinion No. 481-B), and with the orders issued by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska ("RCA") in *In re Formal Complaint of Tesoro Alaska Petroleum Co.*, P-89-1(104)/P-89-2(98)/P-94-4(37)/P96-6(24)/P-98-9(16)/P-99-12(19) (2005); P-89-1(109)/P89-2(103)/P-94-4(42)/P-96-6(29)/P98-9(21)/P-99-12(24) (2006); P-89-1(111)/P-89-2(105)/P-94-4(44)/P-96-6(31)/P-98-9(23)/P-99-12(26) (2006).

The enclosed tariffs incorporate the new methodology adopted by the Commissions in those orders. Opinion 481-A P 23 (adopted by the RCA in Order P-89-1(109)) directs that the new methodology is effective November 1, 2005.


The bases for each of the revised component valuation methods set forth in the enclosed tariffs are explained in the enclosed memorandum from the Quality Bank Administrator dated 29 June 2006.¹

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 343.3 of the Commission's regulations, each of the TAPS Carriers other than Koch Alaska Pipeline Company, L.L.C. hereby requests that any protest to its enclosed filing be telefaxed at the time it is filed to its counsel, John E. Kennedy, at the following telefax number: 713.615.5273. Koch Alaska Pipeline Company, L.L.C. requests that any protest to its enclosed filing be telefaxed to its counsel, John B. Rudolph, at the following telefax number: 202.973.1212.

I hereby certify that on or before this day a copy of the enclosed tariffs has been sent to each subscriber on the subscriber list of the appropriate TAPS Carrier by first class mail or other agreed-upon means of transmission.

Any questions regarding the accompanying tariff should be addressed to John E. Kennedy at 713.758.2550.

Respectfully submitted,


John E. Kennedy
Counsel for BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc.,
ConocoPhillips Transportation Alaska, Inc.,
ExxonMobil Pipeline Company, and
Unocal Pipeline Company

HAND DELIVERED AND RECEIPT REQUESTED

Enclosures

Houston 2910696v.1

¹ Recalculation of the Quality Bank adjustments for the period February 1, 2000 through October 31, 2005, is underway, and new statements reflecting the refunds due or payments owed will be filed as soon as possible. At present, the Quality Bank Administrator estimates that new statements for that period will be issued on or about September 15, 2006. Recalculation of Quality Bank adjustments for such an extended period is a massive undertaking, as the Quality Bank Administrator has consistently informed the parties.

TAPS QUALITY BANK

To: All Shippers and Interested Parties

From: J.T. Mitchell – Quality Bank Administrator

Date: 29 June 2006

Subject: Bases for Revised Tariff

Under the orders issued in FERC Opinions 481, 481-A, and 481-B the Quality Bank methodology is to be revised on a prospective basis effective November 1, 2005. The purpose of this memo is to provide the bases for each of the revised component valuation methods as set forth in Attachment 2 to the Methodology Tariff.

Two Versions of Attachment 2

Because the revisions are being implemented after the fact, it is necessary to issue two versions of Attachment 2 to reflect changes in some of the valuation factors since November 1, 2005. These two versions apply to the following periods:

| <u>Period of Application</u> | <u>Reason</u> |
|--|--|
| Nov 1, 2005 – Jan 31, 2006 (Version 1) | Overall revised methodology |
| Feb 1, 2006 forward (Version 2) | Revised LD, HD, & Resid adjs. Revised WC Naphtha coefficients |

It is planned that the new methodology will be applied on a current basis for the June Quality Bank with invoices issued in July. The above-referenced versions of Attachment 2 will be the basis for refund calculations for the months of Nov 2005 through May 2006.

Use of Nelson-Farrar Escalation Factors

The Nelson-Farrar (N-F) indices are used in two ways. First, they are used to convert 1996 based capital investments to a year 2000 basis as required by the Commissions. For this purpose the average index for the calendar year 2000 was divided by the average for 1996 to yield a capital escalation factor of 1.0742 as documented in the attached Exhibit 1.

It should be noted that well after the fact it was discovered that there was a typo in the Oil & Gas Journal for the index for September, 2000. The index should have been 450.7 instead of 458.7 as published. This was established with finality through correspondence with Mr. Farrar. At the time it was determined that this correction did not affect any of the processing adjustments used during the pertinent period. However, since we are using these factors for both the prospective and retroactive calculations, it was decided to include the correction at this time.

Once the processing adjustments for HD and Resid have been established using the new methodology for the base year 2000, the N-F factors are used to up-date the adjustments annually. This has been done since 1998 on the basis of a February to January period. In other words the new escalation factor is determined each January, the processing adjustments redetermined, and a revised Tariff filed effective February 1. The revised processing adjustments then remain the same through the following January. It was decided to continue this practice both to be consistent with the approach in the past and to have all the adjustments change at the same time, including that for Light Distillate that was not changed by these orders. The N-F escalation ratios for each year through 2006 are shown in the attached Exhibit 2.

Gulf Coast Light Straight Run

In May of this year Platts changed the designation of GC natural gasoline used by the Quality Bank for valuation of LSR from "non-Dynegey" to "non-Targa" to reflect a change in ownership of some mid-stream facilities. There was no change in the product being assessed and this name change is reflected in Version 2 of Attachment 2.

West Coast Naphtha

Beginning November 1, 2005 the WC Naphtha component will be valued using a formula developed from a regression analysis of 10 years data for GC naphtha, gasoline, and jet fuel prices. The formula is set forth on page 3 of Attachment 2. The coefficients in the formula will be redetermined each January and made effective February 1. The revised Naphtha formula will then be put into effect on the same schedule as the revised processing adjustments for LD, HD, and Resid.

The formula to be used for Nov 2005 – Jan 2006 is given on page 3 of Version 1 of Attachment 2 while the formula for Feb 2006 – Jan 2007 is shown on page 3 of Version 2 of Attachment 2.

The monthly average prices for each ten-year period are shown in the attached Exhibits 3A and 3B. The results of the two regression analyses are given in Exhibits 4A and 4B. Consistent with Opinion 481-A the following product prices were used for the GC naphtha element of the regression:

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Through Feb 2003 | Naphtha |
| From Mar 1 – Aug 16, 2003 | Heavy Naphtha |
| From Aug 17, 2003 forward | Average of Heavy Naphtha and Heavy Naphtha Barge |

The actual published Heavy Naphtha prices were used during the period from May 1 to August 16 rather than the April price that had been frozen pending a decision by the Commissions. This is consistent with both the wording of Opinion 481-A and the concept that the regression should be based on actual prices rather than a price frozen due to regulatory reasons.

West Coast Heavy Distillate

All parties agreed to use WC, pipeline, Los Angeles LS No. 2 as the pricing basis for WC HD beginning in Feb 2000. The name of the product was subsequently changed by Platts to LS Diesel and later LS (EPA) Diesel.

The Commissions, in essence, ordered that the O'Brien calculation of the processing adjustment was to be used with the exception that a WC location factor of 1.27 was to be applied to the capital investment. Exhibit No. PAI-19 has been revised from the original to include the location factor. This is attached as Exhibit 5. The result is a processing cost of \$1.962 per barrel on a 1996 basis. Application of the N-F escalation factor described previously gives the adjustment for the 2000 base year of \$2.108 per barrel. Processing adjustments for subsequent years are shown in Exhibit 6.

West Coast Gas Oil

As agreed by the parties and approved by the Commissions, beginning Nov 1, 2005 the Gas Oil component on the WC will be valued based on the OPIS weekly quotation for WC HS VGO.

Gulf Coast and West Coast Resid

Under the new formula approach for determination of Resid value it is necessary to establish two separate elements of the formula. First, the yield coefficients must be determined and, second, the processing adjustments must be calculated.

The yield coefficients are a function of the API gravity, sulfur content, and carbon residue content of the Resid component of the ANS common stream. These properties are used in the PIMS coker yield correlation to calculate the coefficients. The Initial Decision, as approved in Opinion 481, provided that the 2001 Caleb Brett assay was to serve as the basis for prospective implementation of the new Resid valuation until the QBA determined that some other basis was more appropriate. As discussed in the attached Exhibit 7, it is my determination that the 2001 Caleb Brett assay should serve as the basis for coefficient determination until further notice. The coefficients calculated using these properties are the same for both GC and WC and are shown on pages 4 and 5 of both versions of Attachment 2. The calculation was accomplished using Exhibit No. EMT-197 revised to include a 68 °F cut point for C₅+ as ordered by the Commissions. The format of this calculation is shown on page 6 of Attachment 2.

The processing adjustments for the GC and WC are determined based on the capital and operating costs for a petroleum coking unit as specified by the Commissions. The calculation of these costs for the 2000 base year is shown in Exhibits 8 and 9. For each cost item the basis is provided in the right-hand column.

The annual adjustments are determined using the N-F escalation factors as discussed in the section concerning Heavy Distillate. These are shown in Exhibits 10 and 11 for the GC and WC. The adjustments for the periods Nov 2005 - Jan 2006 and for Feb 2006 -- Jan 2007 are shown on pages 4 and 5 of Versions 1 and 2 of Attachment 2, respectively.

Exhibit 1

**Nelson-Farrar Cost Indexes
(1996 / 2000)**

Operating Index - Refinery

| | | | |
|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Jan-00 | 432.9 | Jan-96 | 413.6 |
| Feb-00 | 441.7 | Feb-96 | 411.7 |
| Mar-00 | 440.7 | Mar-96 | 408.3 |
| Apr-00 | 437.3 | Apr-96 | 405.5 |
| May-00 | 432.6 | May-96 | 408.4 |
| Jun-00 | 440.2 | Jun-96 | 410.1 |
| Jul-00 | 443.9 | Jul-96 | 412.6 |
| Aug-00 | 436.6 | Aug-96 | 411.4 |
| Sep-00 | 450.7 | Sep-96 | 415.1 |
| Oct-00 | 458.7 | Oct-96 | 415.1 |
| Nov-00 | 454.8 | Nov-96 | 421.2 |
| Dec-00 | 457.9 | Dec-96 | 426.8 |
| Average | 444.0000 | Average | 413.3167 |

Ratio: 1.074237

EXHIBIT 2
NELSON-FARRAR RATIOS
2000 - 2006

| <u>Effective Date</u> | <u>Previous Index</u> | <u>Current Index</u> | <u>Escalation Ratio</u> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| February 1, 2001 | 402.0 | 432.8 | 1.0768 |
| February 1, 2002 | 432.8 | 442.7 | 1.0229 |
| February 1, 2003 | 442.7 | 422.0 | 0.9532 |
| February 1, 2004 | 422.0 | 460.7 | 1.0916 |
| February , 2005 | 460.7 | 473.1 | 1.0269 |
| February 1, 2006 | 473. | 515.1 | 1.0888 |

Exhibit 3A

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1995-2004

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Jan-95 | 19.980 | 19.795 | 18.970 |
| Feb-95 | 20.591 | 19.629 | 19.433 |
| Mar-95 | 20.667 | 18.939 | 18.870 |
| Apr-95 | 24.935 | 21.050 | 22.857 |
| May-95 | 26.658 | 21.477 | 23.341 |
| Jun-95 | 23.131 | 20.124 | 20.179 |
| Jul-95 | 20.127 | 19.649 | 18.256 |
| Aug-95 | 21.201 | 20.865 | 20.235 |
| Sep-95 | 21.215 | 21.564 | 19.506 |
| Oct-95 | 18.886 | 21.122 | 18.012 |
| Nov-95 | 19.212 | 21.969 | 17.897 |
| Dec-95 | 20.176 | 23.284 | 19.412 |
| Jan-96 | 20.852 | 23.005 | 20.477 |
| Feb-96 | 21.848 | 22.688 | 20.433 |
| Mar-96 | 24.480 | 24.245 | 21.953 |
| Apr-96 | 27.815 | 25.705 | 25.375 |
| May-96 | 26.534 | 23.341 | 23.868 |
| Jun-96 | 23.990 | 21.769 | 20.572 |
| Jul-96 | 24.948 | 23.413 | 22.163 |
| Aug-96 | 24.532 | 25.933 | 22.618 |
| Sep-96 | 25.008 | 28.670 | 24.394 |
| Oct-96 | 27.053 | 29.617 | 26.465 |
| Nov-96 | 27.795 | 29.254 | 26.789 |
| Dec-96 | 27.313 | 29.158 | 26.310 |
| Jan-97 | 28.295 | 28.572 | 27.121 |
| Feb-97 | 26.214 | 26.012 | 24.705 |
| Mar-97 | 25.938 | 23.452 | 24.121 |
| Apr-97 | 24.751 | 22.771 | 21.480 |
| May-97 | 25.783 | 23.083 | 22.943 |
| Jun-97 | 23.408 | 21.955 | 20.695 |
| Jul-97 | 24.887 | 22.546 | 22.818 |
| Aug-97 | 27.493 | 23.523 | 25.053 |
| Sep-97 | 24.005 | 22.775 | 21.293 |
| Oct-97 | 23.219 | 24.022 | 21.228 |
| Nov-97 | 22.339 | 23.150 | 21.338 |
| Dec-97 | 20.964 | 20.792 | 19.857 |

Exhibit 3A

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1995-2004

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Jan-98 | 19.514 | 20.029 | 18.493 |
| Feb-98 | 19.091 | 18.889 | 17.400 |
| Mar-98 | 18.148 | 17.330 | 16.351 |
| Apr-98 | 19.693 | 17.875 | 17.730 |
| May-98 | 20.396 | 17.488 | 16.160 |
| Jun-98 | 18.642 | 16.487 | 15.227 |
| Jul-98 | 17.812 | 16.418 | 15.392 |
| Aug-98 | 15.883 | 15.803 | 13.870 |
| Sep-98 | 17.138 | 17.683 | 15.888 |
| Oct-98 | 17.559 | 17.759 | 15.535 |
| Nov-98 | 14.269 | 15.670 | 13.059 |
| Dec-98 | 12.672 | 12.999 | 11.784 |
| Jan-99 | 13.954 | 14.194 | 12.777 |
| Feb-99 | 12.990 | 13.042 | 11.315 |
| Mar-99 | 17.866 | 15.921 | 15.812 |
| Apr-99 | 21.165 | 18.160 | 16.938 |
| May-99 | 20.423 | 17.438 | 17.945 |
| Jun-99 | 20.592 | 18.638 | 18.447 |
| Jul-99 | 23.908 | 21.705 | 21.938 |
| Aug-99 | 25.603 | 23.852 | 23.856 |
| Sep-99 | 27.280 | 25.913 | 25.340 |
| Oct-99 | 24.973 | 25.080 | 23.760 |
| Nov-99 | 27.725 | 27.838 | 26.463 |
| Dec-99 | 27.555 | 29.588 | 26.455 |
| Jan-00 | 29.389 | 32.721 | 28.505 |
| Feb-00 | 34.409 | 32.910 | 33.584 |
| Mar-00 | 36.207 | 32.634 | 32.749 |
| Apr-00 | 30.961 | 30.234 | 28.311 |
| May-00 | 37.421 | 31.631 | 33.414 |
| Jun-00 | 40.831 | 33.006 | 36.717 |
| Jul-00 | 34.971 | 33.578 | 31.923 |
| Aug-00 | 35.693 | 37.871 | 34.335 |
| Sep-00 | 38.102 | 42.777 | 36.708 |
| Oct-00 | 37.082 | 41.105 | 36.242 |
| Nov-00 | 36.207 | 43.160 | 35.275 |
| | 30.545 | 36.422 | 30.650 |

Exhibit 3A

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1995-2004

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|--------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Jan-01 | 36.158 | 36.075 | 35.723 |
| Feb-01 | 35.111 | 34.006 | 31.912 |
| Mar-01 | 32.886 | 31.521 | 30.302 |
| Apr-01 | 40.816 | 32.306 | 36.881 |
| May-01 | 39.034 | 34.478 | 32.051 |
| Jun-01 | 30.925 | 32.410 | 27.370 |
| Jul-01 | 28.345 | 29.963 | 26.563 |
| Aug-01 | 32.819 | 32.141 | 30.706 |
| Sep-01 | 30.614 | 30.309 | 27.474 |
| Oct-01 | 23.783 | 26.122 | 21.598 |
| Nov-01 | 21.131 | 22.822 | 20.270 |
| Dec-01 | 21.492 | 21.649 | 20.166 |
| Jan-02 | 22.7640 | 22.4410 | 20.1240 |
| Feb-02 | 22.8524 | 23.2476 | 21.7521 |
| Mar-02 | 30.2043 | 26.5965 | 27.4801 |
| Apr-02 | 33.0010 | 28.2402 | 30.2309 |
| May-02 | 31.3625 | 28.1701 | 28.8278 |
| Jun-02 | 31.1829 | 27.5898 | 28.1074 |
| Jul-02 | 31.9595 | 29.2585 | 29.2905 |
| Aug-02 | 31.7668 | 30.5922 | 29.7832 |
| Sep-02 | 33.0031 | 33.8063 | 32.3899 |
| Oct-02 | 34.8102 | 33.3827 | 33.9958 |
| Nov-02 | 29.3232 | 29.9278 | 26.2837 |
| Dec-02 | 33.0040 | 34.2465 | 33.0200 |
| Jan-03 | 37.0835 | 37.4475 | 37.5435 |
| Feb-03 | 42.6095 | 44.5465 | 41.2446 |
| Mar-03 | 40.6295 | 37.6705 | 38.5695 |
| Apr-03 | 34.2430 | 31.4065 | 31.1030 |
| May-03 | 33.2010 | 30.1495 | 30.9165 |
| Jun-03 | 34.9545 | 31.6490 | 31.1880 |
| Jul-03 | 37.3619 | 32.9280 | 32.8278 |
| Aug-03 | 41.4815 | 34.6739 | 36.2005 |
| Sep-03 | 34.1610 | 31.1695 | 31.4785 |
| Oct-03 | 35.5713 | 34.6961 | 34.9508 |
| Nov-03 | 34.7060 | 35.1213 | 34.7579 |
| Dec-03 | 35.9670 | 37.0335 | 36.9840 |

Exhibit 3A

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1995-2004

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Jan-04 | 41.5728 | 41.8055 | 42.3797 |
| Feb-04 | 43.3739 | 39.4402 | 39.1075 |
| Mar-04 | 46.0334 | 40.1114 | 42.9712 |
| Apr-04 | 48.5790 | 41.0690 | 43.3375 |
| May-04 | 56.4522 | 46.0766 | 49.1264 |
| Jun-04 | 49.3860 | 43.5295 | 45.3055 |
| Jul-04 | 52.1015 | 48.3660 | 47.5248 |
| Aug-04 | 49.6369 | 51.7407 | 49.0718 |
| Sep-04 | 52.2510 | 57.4615 | 51.9510 |
| Oct-04 | 56.8955 | 64.1895 | 57.4870 |
| Nov-04 | 52.2900 | 56.6013 | 52.8334 |
| Dec-04 | 43.8570 | 51.5145 | 43.5315 |

Exhibit 3B

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1996-2005

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Jan-96 | 20.852 | 23.005 | 20.477 |
| Feb-96 | 21.848 | 22.688 | 20.433 |
| Mar-96 | 24.480 | 24.245 | 21.953 |
| | 27.815 | 25.705 | 25.375 |
| | 26.534 | 23.341 | 23.868 |
| Jun-96 | 23.990 | 21.769 | 20.572 |
| Jul-96 | 24.948 | 23.413 | 22.163 |
| Aug-96 | 24.532 | 25.933 | 22.618 |
| Sep-96 | 25.008 | 28.670 | 24.394 |
| Oct-96 | 27.053 | 29.617 | 26.465 |
| Nov-96 | 27.795 | 29.254 | 26.789 |
| Dec-96 | 27.313 | 29.158 | 26.310 |
| Jan-97 | 28.295 | 28.572 | 27.121 |
| Feb-97 | 26.214 | 26.012 | 24.705 |
| Mar-97 | 25.938 | 23.452 | 24.121 |
| Apr-97 | 24.751 | 22.771 | 21.480 |
| May-97 | 25.783 | 23.083 | 22.943 |
| Jun-97 | 23.408 | 21.955 | 20.695 |
| Jul-97 | 24.887 | 22.546 | 22.818 |
| Aug-97 | 27.493 | 23.523 | 25.053 |
| Sep-97 | 24.005 | 22.775 | 21.293 |
| Oct-97 | 23.219 | 24.022 | 21.228 |
| Nov-97 | 22.339 | 23.150 | 21.338 |
| Dec-97 | 20.964 | 20.792 | 19.857 |
| Jan-98 | 19.514 | 20.029 | 18.493 |
| Feb-98 | 19.091 | 18.889 | 17.400 |
| Mar-98 | 18.148 | 17.330 | 16.351 |
| Apr-98 | 19.693 | 17.875 | 17.730 |
| May-98 | 20.396 | 17.488 | 16.160 |
| Jun-98 | 18.642 | 16.487 | 15.227 |
| Jul-98 | 17.812 | 16.418 | 15.392 |
| Aug-98 | 15.883 | 15.803 | 13.870 |
| Sep-98 | 17.138 | 17.683 | 15.888 |
| Oct-98 | 17.559 | 17.759 | 15.535 |
| Nov-98 | 14.269 | 15.670 | 13.059 |
| Dec-98 | 12.672 | 12.999 | 11.784 |

Exhibit 3B

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1996-2005

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Jan-99 | 13.954 | 14.194 | 12.777 |
| Feb-99 | 12.990 | 13.042 | 11.315 |
| Mar-99 | 17.866 | 15.921 | 15.812 |
| Apr-99 | 21.165 | 18.160 | 16.938 |
| May-99 | 20.423 | 17.438 | 17.945 |
| Jun-99 | 20.592 | 18.638 | 18.447 |
| Jul-99 | 23.908 | 21.705 | 21.938 |
| Aug-99 | 25.603 | 23.852 | 23.856 |
| Sep-99 | 27.280 | 25.913 | 25.340 |
| Oct-99 | 24.973 | 25.080 | 23.760 |
| Nov-99 | 27.725 | 27.838 | 26.463 |
| Dec-99 | 27.555 | 29.588 | 26.455 |
| Jan-00 | 29.389 | 32.721 | 28.505 |
| Feb-00 | 34.409 | 32.910 | 33.584 |
| Mar-00 | 36.207 | 32.634 | 32.749 |
| Apr-00 | 30.961 | 30.234 | 28.311 |
| May-00 | 37.421 | 31.631 | 33.414 |
| Jun-00 | 40.831 | 33.006 | 36.717 |
| Jul-00 | 34.971 | 33.578 | 31.923 |
| Aug-00 | 35.693 | 37.871 | 34.335 |
| Sep-00 | 38.102 | 42.777 | 36.708 |
| Oct-00 | 37.082 | 41.105 | 36.242 |
| Nov-00 | 36.207 | 43.160 | 35.275 |
| Dec-00 | 30.545 | 36.422 | 30.650 |
| Jan-01 | 36.158 | 36.075 | 35.723 |
| Feb-01 | 35.111 | 34.006 | 31.912 |
| Mar-01 | 32.886 | 31.521 | 30.302 |
| Apr-01 | 40.816 | 32.306 | 36.881 |
| May-01 | 39.034 | 34.478 | 32.051 |
| Jun-01 | 30.925 | 32.410 | 27.370 |
| Jul-01 | 28.345 | 29.963 | 26.563 |
| Aug-01 | 32.819 | 32.141 | 30.706 |
| Sep-01 | 30.614 | 30.309 | 27.474 |
| Oct-01 | 23.783 | 26.122 | 21.598 |
| Nov-01 | 21.131 | 22.822 | 20.270 |
| Dec-01 | 21.492 | 21.649 | 20.166 |

Exhibit 3B

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1996-2005

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Jan-02 | 22.7640 | 22.4410 | 20.1240 |
| Feb-02 | 22.8524 | 23.2476 | 21.7521 |
| Mar-02 | 30.2043 | 26.5965 | 27.4801 |
| Apr-02 | 33.0010 | 28.2402 | 30.2309 |
| May-02 | 31.3625 | 28.1701 | 28.8278 |
| Jun-02 | 31.1829 | 27.5898 | 28.1074 |
| Jul-02 | 31.9595 | 29.2585 | 29.2905 |
| Aug-02 | 31.7668 | 30.5922 | 29.7832 |
| Sep-02 | 33.0031 | 33.8063 | 32.3899 |
| Oct-02 | 34.8102 | 33.3827 | 33.9958 |
| Nov-02 | 29.3232 | 29.9278 | 26.2837 |
| Dec-02 | 33.0040 | 34.2465 | 33.0200 |
| Jan-03 | 37.0835 | 37.4475 | 37.5435 |
| Feb-03 | 42.6095 | 44.5465 | 41.2446 |
| Mar-03 | 40.6295 | 37.6705 | 38.5695 |
| Apr-03 | 34.2430 | 31.4065 | 31.1030 |
| May-03 | 33.2010 | 30.1495 | 30.9165 |
| Jun-03 | 34.9545 | 31.6490 | 31.1880 |
| Jul-03 | 37.3619 | 32.9280 | 32.8278 |
| Aug-03 | 41.4815 | 34.6739 | 36.2005 |
| Sep-03 | 34.1610 | 31.1695 | 31.4785 |
| Oct-03 | 35.5713 | 34.6961 | 34.9508 |
| Nov-03 | 34.7060 | 35.1213 | 34.7579 |
| Dec-03 | 35.9670 | 37.0335 | 36.9840 |
| Jan-04 | 41.5728 | 41.8055 | 42.3797 |
| Feb-04 | 43.3739 | 39.4402 | 39.1075 |
| Mar-04 | 46.0334 | 40.1114 | 42.9712 |
| Apr-04 | 48.5790 | 41.0690 | 43.3375 |
| May-04 | 56.4522 | 46.0766 | 49.1264 |
| Jun-04 | 49.3860 | 43.5295 | 45.3055 |
| Jul-04 | 52.1015 | 48.3660 | 47.5248 |
| Aug-04 | 49.6369 | 51.7407 | 49.0718 |
| Sep-04 | 52.2510 | 57.4615 | 51.9510 |
| Oct-04 | 56.8955 | 64.1895 | 57.4870 |
| Nov-04 | 52.2900 | 56.6013 | 52.8334 |
| Dec-04 | 43.8570 | 51.5145 | 43.5315 |

Exhibit 3B

GC Naphtha, Gasoline, Jet Fuel Monthly Averages
1996-2005

| | X1 GC Gasoline (\$/bbl) | X2 GC Jet Fuel Gulf Coast (\$/bbl) | Y GC Naphtha (\$/bbl) |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Jan-05 | 52.5735 | 56.1188 | 51.5392 |
| Feb-05 | 52.1137 | 56.2021 | 49.7040 |
| Mar-05 | 62.6339 | 65.7820 | 60.3621 |
| Apr-05 | 65.0320 | 66.2435 | 62.1660 |
| May-05 | 59.4095 | 61.9430 | 58.6635 |
| Jun-05 | 64.2118 | 69.6899 | 59.3730 |
| Jul-05 | 67.5386 | 69.9725 | 63.9802 |
| Aug-05 | 81.7110 | 78.9002 | 76.8518 |
| Sep-05 | 98.6790 | 94.1680 | 86.5792 |
| Oct-05 | 76.0105 | 100.4495 | 68.2390 |
| Nov-05 | 61.0197 | 71.2310 | 60.4684 |
| Dec-05 | 66.0365 | 72.9290 | 65.5140 |

TAPS Quality Bank

WC Naphtha

1995-2004 Regression

SUMMARY OUTPUT

| <i>Regression Statistics</i> | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Multiple R | 0.995485454 |
| R Square | 0.990991289 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.990837294 |
| Standard Error | 0.910037011 |
| Observations | 120 |

| ANOVA | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | <i>df</i> | <i>SS</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>Significance F</i> |
| Regression | 2 | 10658.87025 | 5329.435126 | | |
| Residual | 117 | 96.89558128 | 0.828167361 | | |
| Total | 119 | 10755.76583 | | | |

| | <i>Coefficients</i> | <i>Standard Error</i> | <i>t Stat</i> | <i>P-value</i> | <i>Lower 95%</i> | <i>Upper 95%</i> | <i>Lower 95.0%</i> | <i>Upper 95.0%</i> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Intercept | -1.414575702 | 0.267344654 | -5.291206245 | 5.7454E-07 | -1.944037762 | -0.885113642 | -1.944037762 | -0.885113642 |
| X Variable 1 (Gasoline) | 0.630621051 | 0.027417486 | 23.00068849 | 3.15157E-45 | 0.5763222159 | 0.684919943 | 0.5763222159 | 0.684919943 |
| X Variable 2 (Jet Fuel) | 0.35769015 | 0.027157736 | 13.17083812 | 7.4781E-25 | 0.303905678 | 0.411474622 | 0.303905678 | 0.411474622 |

| Effective February 1, 2005 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Gasoline, K1 | 0.631 |
| Jet Fuel, K2 | 0.358 |
| Intercept, K3 | -1.415 |

TAPS Quality Bank

WC Naphtha

1996-2005 Regression

SUMMARY OUTPUT

| <i>Regression Statistics</i> | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| Multiple R | 0.994863002 |
| R Square | 0.989752393 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.98957722 |
| Standard Error | 1.468961187 |
| Observations | 120 |

ANOVA

| | <i>df</i> | <i>SS</i> | <i>MS</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>Significance F</i> |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Regression | 2 | 24384.31799 | 12192.15899 | 5650.149971 | 4.1823E-117 |
| Residual | 117 | 252.4680954 | 2.15784697 | | |
| Total | 119 | 24636.78608 | | | |

| | <i>Coefficients</i> | <i>Standard Error</i> | <i>t Stat</i> | <i>P-value</i> | <i>Lower 95%</i> | <i>Upper 95%</i> | <i>Lower 95.0%</i> | <i>Upper 95.0%</i> |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Intercept | -0.132035868 | 0.341476777 | -0.38666134 | 0.699709273 | -0.808312708 | 0.544240972 | -0.808312708 | 0.544240972 |
| X Variable 1 (Gasoline) | 0.732652436 | 0.036886046 | 19.8625906 | 2.77029E-39 | 0.659601557 | 0.805703315 | 0.659601557 | 0.805703315 |
| X Variable 2 (Jet Fuel) | 0.207036453 | 0.033764893 | 6.131707671 | 1.21257E-08 | 0.140166854 | 0.273906052 | 0.140166854 | 0.273906052 |

| Effective February 1, 2006 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Gasoline, K1 | 0.733 |
| Jet Fuel, K2 | 0.207 |
| Intercept, K3 | -0.132 |

Exhibit 5

Exhibit No. PAI-19 (Revised)

ANS HEAVY DISTILLATE TREATMENT COST

ANS HEAVY DISTILLATE TO 0.05% S PIPELINE EPA DIESEL

Cost Basis: United States, 1996

| <u>Cost Component</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Dollars</u> | <u>Notes</u> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Fuel | Million Btu (MMBtu) | 2.11 | 1.0 |
| Power | Kilowatt-Hour (Kwh) | 0.05 | 2.0 |
| Steam | Thousand Pounds | 3.00 | 3.0 |
| Water | Thousand Gallons | 0.50 | 4.0 |
| Hydrogen | Thousand Cubic Feet | 1.75 | 5.0 |
| Labor | Average Hourly Rate | 19.00 | 6.0 |
| Labor Burdens & Benefits | Percent of Hourly Rate | 45.00 | 7.0 |
| Utilization | Stream Days % of Calendar Days | 92.00 | 8.0 |

Variable Costs

| <u>Cost Component</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Units/ Barrel Product</u> | <u>\$/Bbl.</u> | <u>Notes</u> |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Fuel | MMBtu | 0.09 | 0.190 | 9.0 |
| Power | Kwh | 2.00 | 0.100 | 10.0 |
| Steam | Lb. | 13.20 | 0.040 | 11.0 |
| Water | Gal. | 15.00 | 0.008 | 12.0 |
| Catalyst/Chemicals | Dollars | 0.02 | 0.020 | 13.0 |
| Hydrogen | Cubic Feet | 250 | 0.438 | 14.0 |
| Total Variable Costs | | | 0.795 | |

Fixed Costs (Basis: 50,000 B/D High Pressure Distillate Hydrotreater)

| <u>Cost Component</u> | <u>Fixed Cost Basis</u> | <u>\$/Bbl.</u> | <u>Notes</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Labor | Hours/Year | 8,760.0 | 0.014 |
| Maintenance | % ISBL Capital | 4.0 | 0.150 |
| Tax and Insurance | % ISBL Capital | 1.0 | 0.037 |
| Total Fixed Costs | | | 0.202 |

Capital Recovery (Basis: 50,000 B/D High Pressure Distillate Hydrotreater)

| <u>Cost Component</u> | <u>Factor</u> | <u>MMS</u> | <u>\$/Bbl.</u> | <u>Notes</u> |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| GC ISBL | | 49.50 | - | |
| WC ISBL | 1.27 | 62.87 | - | |
| OSBL factor | 29% ISBL | 18.23 | - | |
| Total Capital Investment | | 81.10 | - | |
| Total Capital Recovery | 20% Annually | 16.22 | 0.966 | |

Total ANS Distillate Processing Costs

| | |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Dollars per Barrel | 1.962 |
| Cents per Gallon | 4.67 |

EXHIBIT 6
WC HEAVY DISTILLATE
PROCESSING ADJUSTMENT

2000-2006

| <u>Period</u> | <u>N-F Factor</u> | <u>West Coast Heavy Distillate Adjustment, \$/Bbl</u> | <u>West Coast Heavy Distillate Adjustment, cents/Gal.</u> | <u>Basis: PAI-19 (Rev.)</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 1996 | | 962 | 4.6714 | N-F for 1996 to 2000 |
| 2000 Feb 2000 - Jan 2001 | 1.0742 | 2.108 | 5.0180 | |
| 2001 Feb 2001 - Jan 2002 | 1.0768 | 2.269 | 5.4034 | |
| 2002 Feb 2002 - Jan 2003 | 1.0229 | 2.321 | 5.5272 | |
| 2003 Feb 2003 - Jan 2004 | 0.9532 | 2.213 | 5.2685 | |
| 2004 Feb 2004 - Jan 2005 | 1.0916 | 2.415 | 5.7511 | |
| 2005 Feb 2005 - Jan 2006 | 1.0269 | 2.480 | 5.9058 | |
| 2006 Feb 2006 - May 2006 | 1.0888 | 2.701 | 6.4302 | |

EXHIBIT 7

TAPS QUALITY BANK

To: File

From: JTM – QBA

Date: 16 June 2006

Subject: Resid Properties for Prospective Implementation

Under the ALJ's Initial Decision as adopted by Opinion 481 the initial basis for Resid properties to be used to determine the yield coefficients in the Resid valuation formula prospectively is the 2001 Caleb Brett assay (#1148). As explained in the Decision, the QBA also has certain latitude to undertake additional testing and to replace these properties at his discretion. This topic was not addressed in Opinion 481 or any Order on Rehearing.

In expectation that additional Resid property data would prove useful, beginning in January 2004, samples of the Resid from the PSVR Offtake and Return streams were retained. After the Decision these samples were tested for sulfur and microcarbon residue (MCR) content. The API gravity of these samples was available from the monthly assays. As the Quality Bank does not sample the full ANS stream at the Valdez Marine Terminal, these two streams give the best indication available of trends in Resid properties. The results from this testing program are shown in Table 1. Results of the special assays in 2003 and 2004 are also available for comparison purposes.

The various results are compared in Table 2. As is shown in this table the data for 2005 and recent months in 2006 indicate that the properties from the 2001 assay are still appropriate for use in the Resid value determination. The MCR result for ANS Resid in the 2004 special assay of 20.7% appears to be an outlier and was not even consistent with the MCR values for the other "down-the pipeline" samples taken in that program which varied from 21.1 to 22.5%.

Based on this data the 2001 Caleb Brett properties will be used to calculate the yield factors for the Resid formula to be used from November 2005 forward. The properties of the PSVR streams will continue to be monitored to determine if there is any trend in the Resid properties that might call for additional testing.

Use of the 2001 properties in the PIMS coker spreadsheet (EMT-197) gives the yield factors shown in both versions of Attachment 2. These factors are the same for both the Gulf Coast and the West Coast. The spreadsheet used has been corrected for the use of 68 °F as the initial point for LSR component as required by the Decision.

EXHIBIT 7 - TABLE 1
PSVR OFFTAKE AND RETURN RESID PROPERTIES

| <u>Month</u> | <u>PSVR Offtake</u> | | | <u>PSVR Return</u> | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | <u>API</u> | <u>%S</u> | <u>%MCR</u> | <u>API</u> | <u>%S</u> | <u>%MCR</u> |
| <u>2004</u> | | | | | | |
| Jan | | 2.47 | 22.5 | | 2.50 | 22.5 |
| Feb | | 2.47 | 22.3 | | 2.49 | 22.1 |
| Mar | | 2.49 | 22.6 | | 2.47 | 21.9 |
| Apr | | 2.52 | 22.6 | | 2.47 | 21.8 |
| May | | 2.44 | 22.6 | | 2.47 | 21.9 |
| Jun | | 2.46 | 21.8 | | 2.46 | 22.3 |
| Jul | | 2.41 | 22.7 | | 2.43 | 21.5 |
| Aug | | 2.57 | 23.1 | | 2.57 | 23.4 |
| Sep | | 2.49 | 23.1 | | 2.45 | 23.0 |
| Oct | | 2.44 | 21.8 | | 2.49 | 22.7 |
| Nov | | 2.45 | 22.2 | | 2.47 | 22.4 |
| Dec | | 2.50 | 21.9 | | 2.44 | 22.1 |
| Average | 6.3 | 2.48 | 22.4 | 6.4 | 2.48 | 22.3 |
| <u>2005</u> | | | | | | |
| Jan | | | | | 2.45 | 24.8 |
| Feb | | 2.45 | 23.4 | | 2.45 | 22.9 |
| Mar | | 2.48 | 22.9 | | 2.45 | 22.0 |
| Apr | | 2.45 | 23.3 | | 2.51 | 22.5 |
| May | | 2.53 | 22.4 | | 2.43 | 22.5 |
| Jun | | 2.48 | 22.2 | | 2.46 | 22.8 |
| Jul | | 2.56 | 23.9 | | 2.49 | 22.4 |
| Aug | | 2.35 | 23.9 | | 2.53 | 22.9 |
| Sep | | 2.47 | 22.9 | | 2.49 | 22.3 |
| Oct | | 2.63 | 25.1 | | 2.60 | 25.1 |
| Nov | | 2.55 | 23.5 | | 2.50 | 22.8 |
| Dec | | 2.52 | 23.1 | | 2.51 | 23.0 |
| Average | 6.2 | 2.50 | 23.3 | | 2.49 | 23.0 |
| Ave. excl Oct | | | 23.2 | | | 22.8 |
| Ave. excl Jan/Oct | | | | | | 22.6 |
| <u>2006</u> | | | | | | |
| Jan | 6.2 | 2.44 | 23.3 | | | |
| Feb | 6.2 | 2.47 | 23.1 | 6.6 | 2.44 | 22.9 |
| Mar | 6.2 | 2.58 | 23.2 | 6.6 | 2.55 | 22.3 |
| Apr | 6.2 | 2.59 | 23.7 | | 2.59 | 23.3 |

EXHIBIT 7 - TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF RESID PROPERTIES

| <u>Stream</u> | <u>Gravity,</u> <u>°API</u> | <u>Sulfur,</u> <u>Wt %</u> | <u>MCR,</u> <u>Wt %</u> | <u>MCR Range,</u> <u>Wt %</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Caleb Brett (ANS) 200 | 6.2 | 2.47 | 23. | |
| PSVR Passing - 2004 Avg | | 2.48 | 22.4 | 21.8-23.1 |
| PSVR Passing - 2005 Avg (1) | 6.2 | 2.50 | 23.2 | 22.2-23.9 |
| PSVR Passing - 2006 Avg (2) | 6.2 | 2.52 | 23.3 | 23.1-23.7 |
| Special Sample (ANS) 2003 | 6.2 | 2.48 | 22.7 | |
| Special Sample (ANS) 2004 (3) | 6.2 | 2.47 | 20.7 | |

(1) Excludes value for October 2005 as outlier explained by refinery operations.

(2) Data for Jan-Apr, 2006

(3) MCR value for ANS not consistent with upstream values and is possible outlier.

EXHIBIT 8
COKER CAPITAL COST
(Base Year - 2000)

| | <u>Capital Cost, MM\$</u> | |
|---|---------------------------|---|
| Base Cost - 4-Drum Coker (1996 - GC) | 120.5 | 2-Drum cost of \$107.4 + \$13.1 per EPBOE App. B; Opn. 481 #34 |
| Base Cost - 4-Drum Coker (2000 - GC) | 129.4 | 2000/1996 NF Operating Cost Index ratio of 1.0742 |
| Automatic Deheading - Btm only | 2.1 | Opn. 481-B #11; \$2,616,810/1.27 |
| Coke Handling Equipment | 37.7 | Opn. 481-A #45; PAI-10 estimate \$35.1MM x 1.0742 |
| Coker Gas Plant | 14.0 | EMT-146 p.37; Opn. 481 #37 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Gulf Coast Coker ISBL | 183.2 | |
| OSBL | 64.1 | 35% of ISBL - ID at #1212; Opn. 481 #38 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total Coker Capital Cost | 247.3 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Two, 100% Sulfur Plants | 15.4 | ID#1220; EMT-146 p.9 |
| Downstream Hydrotreaters | 14.4 | ID#1226; EPBOE App. B; \$13.4 MM x 1.0742 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total Gulf Coast Capital Cost | 277.1 | |
| Total West Coast Capital Cost | 351.9 | Location Factor of 1.27 times Gulf Coast -ID at #1240 - Opn 481 #43 |
| Annual Capital Cost | | 20% per year - ID at #123 |
| -Gulf Coast | 55.4 | |
| -West Coast | 70.4 | |
| <hr/> | | |
| Unit Capital Cost, \$ per Barrel | | 40,000 BPSD at 87% utilization - by agreement |
| -Gulf Coast - 2000 | 4.363 | |
| -West Coast - 2000 | 5.540 | |

EXHIBIT 9
OVERALL COKER COST
Base Year - 2000
\$ per Barrel

| | <u>Gulf Coast</u> | <u>West Coast</u> | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Capital Cost: | | | |
| Coker ISBL, MM\$ | 183.2 | 232.7 | Exhibit 8; WC = GC x 1.27 |
| Unit Cost | 4.363 | 5.540 | Exhibit 8 |
| Fixed Operating Cost: | | | |
| Labor | 0.21 | 0.21 | ID #1243,1245,& 1247; EPBOE App. B |
| Maintenance @ 5% ISBL | 0.72 | 0.92 | Use O'Brien |
| Taxes & Insurance @ 1% ISBL | 0.14 | 0.18 | Use O'Brien |
| Miscellaneous @ 2.25% ISBL | 0.32 | 0.41 | Use O'Brien |
| Product Treatment | 0.11 | 0.11 | EPBOE App. B |
| Total Fixed Cost | 1.50 | 1.83 | |
| Variable Operating Cost | 0.87 | 0.87 | EPBOE App. B; 40.81 x 1.0742 |
| Total Coker Cost - 2000 | 6.733 | 8.240 | |

EXHIBIT 10
GC RESID
COKER PROCESSING COST
2000-2006

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Period</u> | <u>N-F Factor</u> | Gulf Coast Resid <u>Adjustment, \$/Bbl</u> | <u>Note</u> |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|
| 2000 | Feb 2000 - Jan 2001 | | 6.733 | See Exhibit 9 |
| | Feb 2001 - Jan 2002 | 1.0768 | 7.250 | |
| | Feb 2002 - Jan 2003 | 1.0229 | 7.416 | |
| | Feb 2003 - Jan 2004 | 0.9532 | 7.069 | |
| | Feb 2004 - Jan 2005 | 1.0916 | 7.717 | |
| | Feb 2005 - Jan 2006 | 1.0269 | 7.924 | |
| | Feb 2006 - Jan 2007 | .0888 | 8.628 | |

EXHIBIT 11
WC RESID
COKER PROCESSING COST
2000-2006

| <u>Period</u> | <u>N-F Factor</u> | West Coast Resid <u>Adjustment, \$/Bbl</u> | <u>Note</u> |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|
| Feb 2000 - Jan 2001 | | 8.240 | See Exhibit 9 |
| Feb 2001 - Jan 2002 | .0768 | 8.873 | |
| Feb 2002 - Jan 2003 | 1.0229 | 9.076 | |
| Feb 2003 - Jan 2004 | 0.9532 | 8.651 | |
| Feb 2004 - Jan 2005 | .0916 | 9.444 | |
| Feb 2005 - Jan 2006 | .0269 | 9.698 | |
| Feb 2006 - Jan 2007 | 1.0888 | 10.559 | |